

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,353	02/14/2001	Franklin Sadler Love III	41012/208363	1080
826 75	08/21/2002			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EXAMINER	
101 SOUTH TE	ERICA PLAZA RYON STREET, SUITE 4	000	HONG, JOHN C	
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726 DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/783,353	LOVE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	-	John C. Hong	3726		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
	Period for Reply				
THE N - Extensifier to the second of the sec	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ol6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 C	October 2001			
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.			
· <u>- </u>	' -		prosecution as to the merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Application Papers					
<i>'</i>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		amin an		
10)[] 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-50, drawn to a descaling apparatus, classified in class 451, subclass 2.
 - II. Claim 51-62, drawn to a blast head, classified in class 451, subclass 75.
 - III. Claims 63-91, drawn to a method of descaling, classified in class 29, subclass81.06.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because smooth edged media is propelled by the fluid pressure through the nozzle and out of the fan shaped outlet in fan shaped spray onto the metal surface such that the spray loosens the scale on the metal surface, the deceleration zone decelerates any errant media missing the metal surface to limit the damage to the blast head, and the media outflow zone capture falling media. The subcombination has separate utility such as a blasting head where there is no abrading device cooperating to descale the portion of the advancing metal surface is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand such as a process that does not require a blast head.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand such as the process that does not require a blast head.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Gregory Carlin on August 20, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 703-305-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

jh August 21, 2002

> JOHN C. HONG PATENT EXAMINED